

Duration of Electrocardiographic Monitoring of Emergency Department Patients with Syncope

Running Title: *Thiruganasambandamoorthy et al.; Duration of ECG Monitoring for ED Syncope*

Venkatesh Thiruganasambandamoorthy, MBBS, MSc^{1,2,3}; Brian H. Rowe, MD, MSc⁴; Marco L.A. Sivilotti, MD, MSc^{5,6}; Andrew D. McRae, MD, PhD⁷; Kirtana Arcot, MSc²; Marie-Joe Nemnom, MSc²; Longlong Huang, PhD²; Muhammad Mukarram, MBBS, MPH²; Andrew D. Krahn, MD⁸; George A. Wells, PhD³; Monica Taljaard, PhD^{2,3}

¹Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada;

²Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, The Ottawa Hospital, Ottawa, ON, Canada;

³Department of Epidemiology and Community Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada; ⁴Department of Emergency Medicine and School of Public Health, University of

Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada; ^{5,6}Departments of Emergency Medicine, and Biomedical and Molecular Sciences, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, Canada; ⁷Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada; ⁸Division of Cardiology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

Address for Correspondence:

Venkatesh Thiruganasambandamoorthy, MBBS, MSc
The Ottawa Hospital Research Institute
Clinical Epidemiology Unit
The Ottawa Hospital, Civic Campus
1053 Carling Avenue, 6th Floor, Rm F650
Ottawa, Ontario K1Y 4E9
Tel: (613) 798-5555 ext. 17023
Fax: (613) 761-5351
Email: vthirug@ohri.ca

Abstract

Background: The optimal duration of cardiac rhythm monitoring following emergency department (ED) presentation for syncope is poorly described. We sought to describe the incidence and time to arrhythmia occurrence to inform decisions regarding duration of monitoring based on ED risk-stratification.

Methods: We conducted a prospective cohort study enrolled adult (≥ 16 years) patients presenting within 24-hours of syncope at 6 EDs. We collected baseline characteristics, time of syncope and ED arrival, and the Canadian Syncope Risk Score (CSRS) risk category. We followed subjects for 30-days and our adjudicated primary outcome was serious arrhythmic conditions (arrhythmias, interventions for arrhythmias and unexplained death). After excluding patients with an obvious serious condition on ED presentation and those with missing CSRS predictors, we used Kaplan-Meier analysis to describe the time to serious arrhythmic outcomes.

Results: 5,581 patients (mean age 53.4 years, 54.5% females, 11.6% hospitalized) were available for analysis, including 346 (6.2%) for whom the 30-day follow-up was incomplete and were censored at the last follow-up time. 417 (7.5%) patients suffered serious outcomes of which 207 (3.7%; 95% CI 3.3%, 4.2%) were arrhythmic (161 arrhythmias, 30 cardiac device implantations, 16 unexplained death). Overall, 4123 (73.9%) were classified as CSRS low-risk, 1062 (19.0%) medium and 396 (7.1%) high-risk. The CSRS accurately stratified subjects as low (0.4% risk for 30-day arrhythmic outcome), medium (8.7% risk) and high-risk (25.3% risk). One-half of arrhythmic outcomes were identified within 2-hours of ED arrival in low-risk and within 6-hours in medium and high-risk patients and the residual risk after these cut-points were 0.2% for low-risk, 5.0% medium and 18.1% high-risk patients. Overall, 91.7% of arrhythmic outcomes among medium and high-risk patients including all ventricular arrhythmias were identified within 15-days. None of the low-risk patients suffered ventricular arrhythmia or unexplained death while 0.9% medium-risk and 6.3% of high-risk patients suffered them ($p < 0.0001$).

Conclusions: Serious underlying arrhythmia was often identified within the first 2-hours of ED arrival for CSRS low-risk patients, and within 6-hours for CSRS medium- and high-risk patients. Outpatient cardiac rhythm monitoring for 15-days for selected medium-risk patients and all high-risk patients discharged from the hospital should also be considered.

Key Words: syncope; arrhythmia; cardiac rhythm monitoring; ED monitoring; outpatient cardiac rhythm monitoring; acute management; risk-stratification; mortality; ventricular arrhythmia

Clinical Perspective

What is new?

- The optimal duration of emergency department (ED) and post-ED cardiac rhythm monitoring for arrhythmia among patients with syncope is unknown.
- Our results show that the overall arrhythmia risk and the risk after 2-hours of ED arrival for Canadian Syncope Risk Score (CSRS) low-risk patients is very low.
- Similarly, the overall risk and after 6-hours of ED arrival for medium and high-risk patients is moderate and high.
- No low-risk patient suffered ventricular arrhythmia/unexplained death.
- Most of the arrhythmias among non-low risk patients occurred within 15-days of index syncope.



What are the clinical implications?

- The results of our study support brief monitoring in the ED for 2 hours for Canadian Syncope Risk Score (CSRS) low-risk patients, and 6 hours for medium and high-risk patients, followed by selective admission.
- Our results also support 15-day outpatient monitoring for medium-risk patients at a selected threshold and all high-risk patients.
- The diagnostic yield of detecting an underlying arrhythmia is highest when cardiac monitoring devices are applied early following syncope, ideally at the index visit.

Introduction

Syncope is defined as sudden transient loss of consciousness followed by spontaneous complete recovery due to transient global hypoperfusion of the brain.¹ Syncope is a common emergency department (ED) presentation constituting 1-3% of ED visits and up to 1% hospitalization from the ED.² The most important objective of ED evaluation is to exclude a serious underlying condition such as arrhythmia, acute cardiac ischemia, pulmonary embolism, or internal hemorrhage.^{3, 4} Previous studies have estimated that one-third to one-half of these serious conditions, particularly arrhythmias, are missed during ED evaluation and become evident only after ED disposition.^{5, 6} This concern for occult serious conditions, particularly arrhythmias, contributes to prolonged ED monitoring, extended observation in 'Syncope Units' and unnecessary hospitalization.^{3, 7-9}

Several tools have been developed for risk-stratification of ED patients with syncope, including the Canadian Syncope Risk Score (CSRS; Figure 1).^{6, 10} The CSRS was developed by prospectively enrolling a large cohort of patients presenting to a network of Canadian hospitals for syncope, and following them for 30-days to identify serious outcomes (Supplemental Figure 1). In addition to developing the risk score, the study also sought to identify where and when these serious adverse outcomes were first identified relative to the time of ED presentation. By prospectively collecting these data, we sought to inform management decisions regarding the length of ED cardiac rhythm monitoring and disposition, and the need for further monitoring using risk-stratification at the end of the initial ED evaluation.

Therefore, the objectives of this study were to describe the time to occurrence of serious arrhythmias relative to time of ED arrival based on the CSRS risk category. The ultimate goal was to provide guidance for decision regarding the duration and location of cardiac rhythm monitoring.

Methods

Study Setting and Population

A prospective cohort study was conducted at six large EDs in Canada and adult (≥ 16 years) patients who presented within 24-hours of most recent syncope were potentially eligible. We excluded the following patients who did not meet the definition of syncope: prolonged loss of consciousness (> 5 minutes), mental status changes from baseline, obvious witnessed seizure based on previous history or current clinical evaluation, or head trauma causing loss of consciousness.^{11, 12} We also excluded patients with major trauma requiring hospitalization and patients from whom an accurate history was not possible (e.g., language barrier, intoxication due to alcohol or drugs). As the study was observational with no patient interventions, the ethics committees at all study sites approved the study with the requirement of only verbal consent. The patient-level data will not be made available to other researchers. However, the analytical methods and statistical analysis codes can be provided on request.

Data Collection

The data were collected as part of a large multicenter study whose primary objective was to develop a risk-stratification tool for ED syncope.¹³ One of the pre-specified secondary objectives was to identify the optimal duration of cardiac rhythm monitoring and the location of such monitoring based on the time and place the serious arrhythmia was identified. ED attending physicians and emergency medicine trainees at each study site were trained on the study protocol during a one-hour didactic session. The training included assessment of standardized and explicitly defined variables from history and physical examination, and the diagnostic criteria for the type of syncope as per the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines for arriving at the final ED diagnosis when no serious conditions were identified during the ED evaluation.¹¹ Emergency

physicians were asked to enroll consecutive eligible patients at the time of the index visit. We collected patient demographics, time of syncope, the time and mode (e.g., by ambulance) of ED arrival, event characteristics, medical history, as well as ED length of stay (LOS), management, and disposition. The treating emergency physician explicitly collected the eight predictors in the CSRS risk tool (Figure 1). To allow calculation of the CSRS, the electrocardiogram (ECG) or serum troponin were imputed to be normal if not obtained. No other missing data imputation was performed. Subjects were classified based on the CSRS as low risk (total score of -3 to 0), medium risk (1 to 3) and high risk (4 and above).⁶ We collapsed the very-low and low in the original tool to a single ‘low-risk’ category and the high and very-high as ‘high-risk’ category for this study.

Serious Outcomes



Serious outcomes included the detection or occurrence of any one of the following within 30 days of syncope (Supplemental Figure 1): death, arrhythmia, myocardial infarction, serious structural heart disease, aortic dissection, pulmonary embolism, severe pulmonary hypertension, significant hemorrhage, subarachnoid hemorrhage, any other serious condition causing syncope or procedural interventions for treatment of syncope. This list of serious outcomes, and a list of prespecified arrhythmias deemed to be serious, were selected as most clinically relevant by an international panel of experts as conditions that would need to be either detected or predicted during ED evaluation and in the short-term.^{14, 15} We collected data on every serious outcome that occurred, including the phase of care (i.e., prior to ED arrival, in the ED, as an inpatient or after index visit discharge) and the time of its occurrence or detection. We then classified these serious outcomes as arrhythmic (i.e any serious arrhythmias, intervention to treat arrhythmias such as pacemaker/defibrillator insertion, or cardioversion, and any death due to an unknown cause) or non-arrhythmic (i.e. all other serious outcomes). For this study, the outcome of interest is only the

arrhythmic serious outcomes. The occurrence of serious outcomes was assessed using a step-wise approach. First, a structured review of all available medical records related to the index ED visit, subsequent ED visits, hospitalizations and/or death, and the results of all investigations including those performed in the outpatient setting was conducted. Second, we undertook a scripted telephone follow-up immediately after 30 days. Third, we reviewed administrative health records for return visits, outpatient investigations or hospitalizations at all local adult hospitals for Ontario patients and within city-wide regional or provincial administrative health databases for Alberta patients. Both provinces have universal health insurance and all hospital-based health services are reliably captured in the health databases. Finally, for Ontario patients unable to be reached by telephone and not known to be alive and well at 30 days, we searched the provincial Coroner's Office for a reported death; by Ontario law the coroner is notified of sudden and unexpected deaths. Deaths among Alberta patients are updated in hospital electronic records quarterly. If no definite information was available regarding serious outcomes with the above approaches, then the patient was designated as incomplete for 30-day follow-up. An adjudication committee comprised of two physicians blinded to all study data independently adjudicated all serious outcomes and the time and place of their initial occurrence or detection. Disagreements were resolved by a third physician. Serious outcomes that occurred or were detected prior to ED arrival were excluded for this time-to-event analysis.

Statistical Analysis

We used mean, range and standard deviation (SD), or median and inter-quartile range (IQR) as appropriate for continuous variables; and frequency with proportion for categorical variables for descriptive analysis. We compared proportions using chi-squared or Fisher's exact test as appropriate. We report the time interval between the occurrence of the last syncopal event and

arrival to the ED. Kaplan-Meier curves were constructed to identify the time to any serious outcome and arrhythmic outcome occurrence relative to the time of ED arrival. When only the date but not the time for serious outcome was recorded in the medical record, the time of noon was used for the interval calculation if more than 24 hours had elapsed following the index ED visit. We compared the proportion of patients suffering any serious outcome and arrhythmic outcomes among the three CSRS risk categories and report p-values using log-rank test. Visual inspection of the Kaplan-Meier curves, estimated survival functions and frequency distributions of arrhythmic outcomes over time within each of the CSRS categories were used to determine recommendations for duration of ED and post-ED monitoring. Patients with no 30-day follow-up information were censored at the time of last follow-up. We report the number of patients at risk, number of arrhythmic outcomes, and survival (arrhythmia free) estimates with 95% confidence intervals (CI) before and after the recommended cut-points for the three CSRS risk categories. We adjusted the Kaplan-Meier variance estimation for clustering using Taylor series approximation.^{16,17} As there was no hypothesis being tested and this study was embedded within a larger study, an *a priori* sample size calculation was not performed. We used SAS (version 9.4) for data analysis.

Results

We enrolled 5,719 patients with syncope at the study hospitals from September 2010 to March 2015 (Figure 2); representing 78.9% of all potentially eligible patients based on manual review of all ED visits by research personnel.

The 1,526 patients who were potentially eligible but not enrolled were similar in age and sex (mean age 55.4 years; SD 22.9 years; 53.1% females) to the enrolled patients. Among those enrolled, 121 patients (2.1%) presented to the ED with an obvious serious condition causing

syncope, and 17 patients (0.3%) with missing CSRS predictors were excluded, leaving 5,581 patients available for this analysis. The 121 patients who presented to the ED with an obvious serious condition included 70 patients with arrhythmias and 51 patients with non-arrhythmic serious conditions (Supplemental Table 1). Of these, 1042 patients (18.7%) were referred to a consulting service in the ED and 650 (11.6%) patients were hospitalized during the index visit. The characteristics of the study patients are detailed in Table 1.

The median time to ED arrival after the syncopal episode was 1.1 hours (IQR 0.7, 1.9 hours; time of syncope not recorded in 1,507 patients; Supplemental Figure 2). Patients who did not have ECG performed or troponin measured were younger, with low prevalence of comorbidities, and the vast majority were deemed low-risk as per the CSRS tool (Supplemental Tables 2 and 3).

A total of 417 patients (7.5%; 95% CI 6.8%, 8.2%) suffered serious outcomes (including 40 patients who died; Supplemental Table 4) within 30 days of the index ED visit, of which 207 (3.7%; 95% CI 3.3, 4.2%) were arrhythmic outcomes (Table 2). In our study, 161 patients suffered arrhythmias (19 patients with ventricular arrhythmias), 16 patients suffered unexplained death (out of 40 deaths) and 30 patients had device insertions [26 pacemakers and 4 implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD)] with no documented arrhythmia in the medical records. Of the 161 patients who suffered arrhythmias: 63 had pacemaker inserted, 8 had ICD inserted, 5 underwent cardioversions, 3 patients had ablation, one patient underwent dialysis to correct hyperkalemia and the remaining had medical management for their arrhythmias. Of the 5,235 patients with 30-day follow-up, 47 patients (0.9%) had a serious arrhythmic outcome identified after index visit hospital discharge and included 30 patients with arrhythmias and 5 patients with cardiac device insertion. Of these 35 patients, 19 experienced recurrent syncope, 10 prodromal symptoms such as dizziness, palpitations or presyncope, and 1 suffered an ICD shock secondary to ventricular arrhythmia. We were unable to

complete the 30-day telephone follow-up for 346 (6.2%) patients but upon review of the provincial health database and Coroner's records, no death records were identified for these patients. In general, these patients with incomplete 30-day follow-up were younger with fewer comorbidities and were far less likely to have seen a consultant or hospitalized following the index ED visit (Supplemental Table 5).

In the study cohort 4,123 patients (73.9%) were classified as low-risk, 1,062 (19.0%) as medium-risk and 396 (7.1%) as high-risk based on the CSRS. The proportion of patients who suffered any serious outcome or arrhythmic outcomes increases significantly with the CSRS risk (log rank $p < 0.0001$) with a higher proportion occurring closer to the index syncopal episode (Figure 3). We found that 2 hours for low-risk, and 6 hours for medium and high-risk patients were the optimal cut-points for ED monitoring.

The patients at risk, observed arrhythmic outcomes, and arrhythmia free survival estimates with 95% CI before and after the recommended cut-points are detailed in Figure 3. Among low-risk patients, 15 (0.4%) suffered arrhythmic outcomes, of which 6 were identified within 2 hours of ED arrival. The types of arrhythmic outcomes among the 15 low-risk patients were: 6 patients with sinus node dysfunction, 2 patients with high degree atrioventricular block, 4 patients with new/uncontrolled atrial fibrillation, 2 patients with supraventricular tachycardia and 1 patient with pacemaker insertion. The overall number of arrhythmic outcomes among medium-risk patients was 92 (8.7%) with 45 identified within 6 hours of ED arrival, and among high-risk patients 100 (25.3%), with 47 identified within 6 hours of ED arrival. The proportion of patients who suffered arrhythmic outcomes after the cut-points based on complete 30-day follow-up data were: 0.2% of low-risk patients after 2-hours of ED arrival; and 5.0% of medium-risk and 18.1% of high-risk patients after 6-hours of ED arrival. Overall, 41 (9.8%) patients with serious outcomes had no time

available and had it imputed as noon time. When considering the 417 study patients who experienced any serious outcome including non-arrhythmic events, just over half (232 or 55.6%; Supplemental Figure 3) were identified within 6 hours of ED arrival.

In our study, 13.1% of medium and high-risk patients suffered arrhythmic outcomes within 30-days of the index ED visit. Based on the distribution of arrhythmic outcomes (Figure 4), almost all (91.7%, or 176 of the 192 outcomes) of the arrhythmic outcomes suffered by medium and high-risk patients were identified within 15 days of the index syncope. In a sensitivity analysis, approximately half of the serious arrhythmic outcomes occurred within six hours of ED arrival regardless of the CSRS cut-point selected to distinguish medium- and high-risk patients (Table 3).

In the study cohort, 35 patients (0.6%, all medium or high-risk) suffered ventricular arrhythmia or died due to unknown cause within 30-days of the index ED visit (Figure 5). All ventricular arrhythmias were identified within 15-days of the index syncope presentation. The proportion of high-risk patients (6.3%) who suffered ventricular arrhythmia or unexplained death was significantly higher than the medium-risk (0.9%) patients ($p < 0.001$).

Discussion

In this prospective multicenter study, most arrhythmic outcomes were identified immediately after the index syncopal episode. The risk of subsequent arrhythmias within 30-days increased substantially according to the CSRS risk category. Overall, very few (0.6%) patients, and no CSRS low-risk patients, suffered ventricular arrhythmia or died from an unknown cause within 30 days. Among patients with syncope who did not have an obvious serious condition identified on arrival, approximately half of the arrhythmic outcomes were identified within a relatively brief interval of ED arrival: the first 2 hours in CSRS low-risk patients, and 6 hours in medium and high-risk patients. The residual risk of arrhythmic outcomes beyond 2 hours of observation is very low (0.2%)

among low-risk CSRS patients. Among medium- and high-risk patients, the risk of arrhythmia after 6 hours of ED observation was 4.4%, and most of the remaining arrhythmic events were identified within 15 days of index visit. Such monitoring intervals appear to us to be clinically sensible and to represent an appropriate balance between excessive testing versus diagnostic yield, although we recognize that clinicians in different healthcare jurisdictions may select different thresholds, based in part on perceived costs of falsely positive or negative testing.

When examining outcomes in a heterogenous condition such as syncope, it is important to consider the spectrum of disease severity. In our study, 0.7% died overall, and 0.6% of subjects died from an unknown cause or experienced ventricular arrhythmia within 30-days of the index ED visit. This prevalence is in line with the 1.6% overall 30-day mortality reported in a meta-analysis by Solbiati et al and 0.3% 30-day ventricular arrhythmia reported in the validation phase of the San Francisco Syncope Rule.^{5, 18} Del Rosso et al reported 1.4% risk of ventricular arrhythmias among patients with syncope at 2-year follow-up.¹⁹ Previous studies have reported that the proportion of patients suffering serious outcomes within 30-day of index syncope has been consistently around 10% and the proportion of patients suffering arrhythmic serious outcomes around 5%.^{9, 10, 20-23} Hospitalization rates, on the other hand, vary widely between studies, and likely reflect substantial differences between healthcare systems rather than disease severity *per se*. We have previously published the reasons for hospitalization among ED syncope patients with no serious conditions identified during index ED evaluation and found that 46.5% of all hospitalizations were for suspected arrhythmias and for the purpose of cardiac monitoring.²⁴ A lower threshold for hospital admission from the ED for suspected arrhythmias and cardiac monitoring would of course increase the admission rate without necessarily increasing the absolute number of serious arrhythmic events identified.^{9, 25, 26}

In our study, three out of four patients were classified as low-risk as per the CSRS. These patients rarely experience serious arrhythmic outcomes, especially after 2-hours of ED monitoring. None suffered an unexplained death or experienced ventricular arrhythmia within 30-days. Hence, we believe these low-risk patients can be potentially discharged within 2-hours without further testing if no underlying conditions are suspected after initial clinical evaluation. Among the medium and high-risk patients, approximately half of the serious arrhythmic conditions were identified within 6-hours of arrival, suggesting a reasonable decision point for ED discharge, continued observation or consultation for admission. Notably, an important proportion (7.5%) of medium and high-risk patients suffered arrhythmic outcomes after 6-hours, although most arrhythmias were non-ventricular. These findings suggest that selected medium-risk patients (19.0% in our cohort) not suspected of evolving non-arrhythmic serious condition (e.g., sepsis, or pulmonary embolism), or after appropriate work-up can be discharged home after 6-hours potentially with consideration given to applying cardiac rhythm monitoring devices. The residual risk of arrhythmias after 6-hours of ED evaluation is even higher among high-risk patients, especially in the first few days, suggesting brief hospitalization can be considered. While an important number of patients suffer ventricular arrhythmias and unexplained deaths within the first few days after the index syncope, these devastating serious outcomes continue to occur over the 30-day follow-up period. Further studies on medium and high-risk patients to identify those at-risk for ventricular arrhythmia or sudden unexplained death, and those who will benefit from hospitalization, are clearly needed.

Our study results show that among patients with moderate and high-risk CSRS scores, the vast majority of the arrhythmic serious conditions occurred within 15-days of the index syncope. The threshold score for outpatient cardiac rhythm monitoring versus hospitalization will depend on

the physician-patient preference, local practice environment and perhaps medico-legal considerations. It is also likely that a longer the duration of outpatient cardiac rhythm monitoring will increase both the yield and the false positive rate of monitoring. Our suggestion for 15-day monitoring needs to be balanced based on the incremental value, patient comfort and cost implications. Further research is also needed in this area.

Not surprisingly, the likelihood of arrhythmia detection is highest immediately after syncope and this likelihood decreases over time. Moreover, given the crowding in the ED, with newly arrived patients often competing with boarded inpatients for monitored beds, the ability to initiate external rhythm monitoring at ED discharge offers an alternative option to hospitalization for the sole purpose of cardiac monitoring. In most centers, these devices are applied a several days later through an outpatient facility. Our data suggest that the yield for such monitoring decreases substantially with each passing day. Locati et al recently reported similar results in their prospective observational study of 395 patients with unexplained syncope and/or sustained palpitations: diagnostic yield of a 4-week external ECG monitor was higher if applied early (0-15 days) versus after 15 days.²⁷

We believe our results are robust as they are based on a large cohort of patients recruited at multiple sites. In our study, a high proportion of eligible patients were enrolled with very few lost to follow-up. Our study does have some limitations. Approximately one-fifth of potentially eligible patients were not enrolled. The proportion missed but truly eligible is likely overestimated as inclusion/exclusion could not always be ascertained from the medical record, and thus uncertain cases were coded as missed. We are not aware of any systemic reasons for non-enrolment but can speculate that lower patient acuity led to shorter ED length of stay and lesser opportunity for subject identification, as well as competing priorities for the emergency physician with other sicker patients

in the ED. The basic characteristics (age and sex) of these patients were similar to those who were enrolled. In our study, approximately one-fourth of patients did not have the time of syncope recorded. Hence, we calculated the time to serious outcome occurrence from the time of ED arrival which was objectively recorded and used it as 'time zero' in our analysis. In our study 261 patients (4.7%) did not have an ECG done. It is likely that physicians felt these were low-risk patients as they were younger with low prevalence of comorbidities and did not order an ECG for these patients (Supplemental Table 2). Our study centers are located in urban areas and the majority of our patients arrived to the ED immediately after their syncope. Longer prehospital intervals might result in missed transient arrhythmias immediately after syncope. Approximately 6% did not complete the 30-day telephone follow-up, although these patients appeared to be at lower-risk and hence unlikely to suffer non-lethal serious arrhythmic outcomes. We did confirm that none of these patients were known to have died within 30-days of the index ED. The small proportion with missing predictors and lost to follow-up are unlikely to bias the results of our study. The CSRS tool includes the powerful predictors, ED diagnosis of 'vasovagal syncope' or 'cardiac syncope' based on the treating ED physician's impression. The degree of skill and experience of the emergency physicians participating in our study represents a diverse yet national standard. Moreover, the CSRS is intended to be applied at the end of the initial ED assessment, and therefore should incorporate the diagnostic impression of the front-line clinician. We have previously shown that these predictors are both reliable and accurate.²⁸ We do assume an appropriate clinical evaluation consistent with the ESC guidelines and advocate for ongoing education to optimize diagnostic accuracy reliability. However, we have not tested the accuracy of these predictors outside of tertiary care academic Canadian hospitals. Finally, the decision to initiate outpatient cardiac rhythm monitoring was left to the treating physician, and the time interval to the application of such

monitoring was variable. The interval to detection of arrhythmia would likely have been shorter with more standardized use of outpatient monitoring and the application of such monitors during the index visit. In our study, there were a subgroup of 30 (0.5%) patients who had pacemaker/ICD insertion within 30-days without documented arrhythmias. A few reasons for lack of information include device insertion was either confirmed by telephone follow-up with no medical records available for review, or arrhythmia was not documented or captured on a rhythm strip; or the device was inserted at the treating electrophysiologist's discretion.

Conclusions

In this large multicenter prospective study, among ED patients presenting with syncope who did not have an obvious serious condition identified on arrival, underlying arrhythmia is most often identified in the first 2-hours for CSRS low-risk patients, and in the first 6-hours for CSRS medium and high-risk patients. A short course of hospitalization may be appropriate for high-risk patients. Outpatient cardiac rhythm monitoring for 15 days of patients at a chosen CSRS threshold for medium-risk patients and all high-risk patients discharged from the hospital should also be considered. Such strategy appears to represent an appropriate trade-off between diagnostic yield for arrhythmia and health resource utilization and will lead to improved detection of underlying important arrhythmias.

Sources of Funding

The study was funded by the Physicians' Services Incorporated Foundation (PSI), Innovation Fund for Academic Health Sciences Centres of Ontario through The Ottawa Hospital Academic Medical Organization (TOHAMO), Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR), Heart and

Stroke Foundation of Canada, and the Cardiac Arrhythmia Network of Canada (CANet) as part of the Networks of Centres of Excellence (NCE)

Disclosures

Dr. Thiruganasambandamoorthy holds a salary award ‘National New Investigator Award’ through the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada. Dr. Rowe’s research is supported by CIHR through a Tier I Canada Research Chair in Evidence-based Emergency Medicine from the Government of Canada (Ottawa, Ontario). The funders take no responsibility for the design, conduct, results or interpretations presented here.

The authors have no conflicts to declare.



Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge all the emergency physicians at The Ottawa Hospital – Civic and the General campuses, Kingston Health Sciences Centre, Foothills Medical Centre, and University of Alberta Hospital who recruited the patients and the emergency medicine residents who helped in this process.

We acknowledge the following members of our research team: Ottawa site – Soo-Min Kim, Sarah Gaudet, Aline Christelle Ishimwe, My-Linh Tran, Sheryl Domingo, and Angela Marcantonio; Kingston site – Jane Reid, Vi Ho, Laura Goodfellow, Nicole O’Callaghan and Vlad Latiu; Edmonton site – Justin Lowes and Danielle DeVuyst; and Calgary site – Tiffany Junghans, Heidi Boyda and Katrina Koger.

Author Contributions

VT, MLAS, BHR, ADM, ADK, GAW and MT conceived the idea, contributed to the study design and applied for funding. VT, MLAS, BHR, MM and ADM supervised the conduct of the trial, data collection, undertook recruitment of patients and managed the data, including quality control. MT and GAW provided statistical advice on study design. KA, MJN and LH analyzed the data under MT's supervision. VT drafted the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript and contributed substantially to its revision. VT takes responsibility for the paper as a whole.

References

1. Brignole M, Moya A, de Lange FJ, Deharo JC, Elliott PM, Fanciulli A, Fedorowski A, Furlan R, Kenny RA, Martin A, Probst V, Reed MJ, Rice CP, Sutton R, Ungar A, van Dijk JG and Group ESCSD. 2018 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of syncope. *Eur Heart J*. 2018;19:19.
2. Kapoor WN. Evaluation and outcome of patients with syncope. *Medicine*. 1990;69:160-175.
3. Thiruganasambandamoorthy V, Taljaard M, Stiell IG, Sivilotti ML, Murray H, Vaidyanathan A, Rowe BH, Calder LA, Lang E, McRae A, Sheldon R and Wells GA. Emergency department management of syncope: need for standardization and improved risk stratification. *Intern Emerg Med*. 2015;10:619-27.
4. Thiruganasambandamoorthy V, Hess EP, Turko E, Perry JJ, Wells GA and Stiell IG. Outcomes in Canadian emergency department syncope patients--are we doing a good job? *J Emerg Med*. 2013;44:321-8.
5. Quinn J, McDermott D, Stiell I, Kohn M and Wells G. Prospective validation of the San Francisco Syncope Rule to predict patients with serious outcomes. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2006;47:448-454.
6. Thiruganasambandamoorthy V, Kwong K, Wells GA, Sivilotti ML, Mukarram M, Rowe BH, Lang E, Perry JJ, Sheldon R, Stiell IG and Taljaard M. Development of the Canadian Syncope Risk Score to predict serious adverse events after emergency department assessment of syncope. *CMAJ*. 2016;188:E289-98.
7. Kenny RA, Brignole M, Dan GA, Deharo JC, van Dijk JG, Doherty C, Hamdan M, Moya A, Parry SW, Sutton R, Ungar A, Wieling W, External contributors to the Task F, Asgari M, Baron-Esquivias G, Blanc JJ, Casagrande I, Cunningham C, Fedorowski A, Furlan R, Gall N, De Lange FJ, McMahan G, Mitro P, Pietrucha A, Podoleanu C, Raviele A, Benditt D, Krahn A, Morillo CA, Olshansky B, Raj S, Sheldon R, Shen WK, Sun B, Hachul D, Abe H, Furukawa T, Document reviewers: Review c, Gorenek B, Lip GY, Glikson M, Ritter P, Hurwitz J, Macfadyen R, Rankin A, Mont L, Svendsen J, Kusumoto F, Cohen M and Savelieva I. Syncope Unit: rationale

and requirement - the European Heart Rhythm Association position statement endorsed by the Heart Rhythm Society. *Europace*. 2015;17:1325-1340.

8. Probst MA, Kanzaria HK, Gbedemah M, Richardson LD and Sun BC. National trends in resource utilization associated with ED visits for syncope. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2015;33:998-1001.
9. Birnbaum A, Esses D, Bijur P, Wollowitz A and Gallagher EJ. Failure to validate the San Francisco Syncope Rule in an independent emergency department population. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2008;52:151-159.
10. Serrano LA, Hess EP, Bellolio MF, Murad MH, Montori VM, Erwin PJ and Decker WW. Accuracy and quality of clinical decision rules for syncope in the emergency department: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2010;56:362-373 e1.
11. Moya A, Sutton R, Ammirati F, Blanc JJ, Brignole M, Dahm JB, Deharo JC, Gajek J, Gjesdal K, Krahn A, Massin M, Pepi M, Pezawas T, Ruiz Granell R, Sarasin F, Ungar A, van Dijk JG, Walma EP and Wieling W. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of syncope (version 2009). Task Force for the Diagnosis and Management of Syncope. European Society of Cardiology, European Heart Rhythm Association, Heart Failure Association, Heart Rhythm Society. *Euro Heart J*. 2009;30:2631-71.
12. Hoefnagels WA, Padberg GW, Overweg J, van der Velde EA and Roos RA. Transient loss of consciousness: the value of the history for distinguishing seizure from syncope. *J Neurol*. 1991;238:39-43.
13. Thiruganasambandamoorthy V, Stiell IG, Sivilotti ML, Murray H, Rowe BH, Lang E, McRae A, Sheldon R and Wells GA. Risk stratification of adult emergency department syncope patients to predict short-term serious outcomes after discharge (RiSEDS) study. *BMC Emerg Med*. 2014;14:8.
14. Sun BC, Thiruganasambandamoorthy V, Cruz JD and Consortium to Standardize EDSRSR. Standardized reporting guidelines for emergency department syncope risk-stratification research. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2012;19:694-702.
15. Sun BC, Costantino G, Barbic F, Bossi I, Casazza G, Dipaola F, McDermott D, Quinn J, Reed M, Sheldon RS, Solbiati M, Thiruganasambandamoorthy V, Krahn AD, Beach D, Bodemer N, Brignole M, Casagrande I, Duca P, Falavigna G, Ippoliti R, Montano N, Olshansky B, Raj SR, Ruwald MH, Shen WK, Stiell I, Ungar A, van Dijk JG, van Dijk N, Wieling W and Furlan R. Priorities for emergency department syncope research. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2014;64:649-55 e2.
16. Lin DY. Cox regression analysis of multivariate failure time data: the marginal approach. *Stat Med*. 1994;13:2233-47.
17. Williams RL. Product-limit survival functions with correlated survival times. *Lifetime Data Anal*. 1995;1:171-86.
18. Solbiati M, Casazza G, Dipaola F, Rusconi AM, Cernuschi G, Barbic F, Montano N, Sheldon RS, Furlan R and Costantino G. Syncope recurrence and mortality: a systematic review. *Europace*. 2015;17:300-8.
19. Del Rosso A, Ungar A, Maggi R, Giada F, Petix NR, De Santo T, Menozzi C and Brignole M. Clinical predictors of cardiac syncope at initial evaluation in patients referred urgently to a general hospital: the EGSYS score. *Heart*. 2008;94:1620-1626.
20. Quinn J and McDermott D. External validation of the San Francisco Syncope Rule. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2007;50:742-743.
21. Quinn JV, Stiell IG, McDermott DA, Sellers KL, Kohn MA and Wells GA. Derivation of the San Francisco Syncope Rule to predict patients with short-term serious outcomes. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2004;43:224-232.

22. Sun BC, Mangione CM, Merchant G, Weiss T, Shlamovitz GZ, Zargaraff G, Shiraga S, Hoffman JR and Mower WR. External validation of the San Francisco Syncope Rule. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2007;49:420-427.
23. Thiruganasambandamoorthy V, Hess EP, Alreesi A, Perry JJ, Wells GA and Stiell IG. External validation of the San Francisco Syncope Rule in the Canadian setting. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2010;55:464-472.
24. Cook OG, Mukarram MA, Rahman OM, Kim SM, Arcot K, Thavorn K, Taljaard M, Sivilotti ML, Rowe BH and Thiruganasambandamoorthy V. Reasons for Hospitalization Among Emergency Department Patients With Syncope. *Acad emerg med.* 2016;23:1210-1217.
25. Reed MJ, Newby DE, Coull AJ, Prescott RJ, Jacques KG and Gray AJ. The ROSE (risk stratification of syncope in the emergency department) study. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology.* 2010;55:713-21.
26. Costantino G, Perego F, Dipaola F, Borella M, Galli A, Cantoni G, Dell'Orto S, Dassi S, Filardo N, Duca PG, Montano N, Furlan R and StePs I. Short- and long-term prognosis of syncope, risk factors, and role of hospital admission: results from the STePS (Short-Term Prognosis of Syncope) study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2008;51:276-283.
27. Locati ET, Vecchi AM, Vargiu S, Cattafi G and Lunati M. Role of extended external loop recorders for the diagnosis of unexplained syncope, pre-syncope, and sustained palpitations. *Europace.* 2014;16:914-922.
28. Toarta C, Mukarram M, Arcot K, Kim SM, Gaudet S, Sivilotti MLA, Rowe BH and Thiruganasambandamoorthy V. Syncope Prognosis Based on Emergency Department Diagnosis: A Prospective Cohort Study. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2017;14:14.

Circulation

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics

Characteristics	N=5,581
Age (in years)	
Mean (SD)	53.4 (23.0)
Range	16–102
Sex - Female	3,042 (54.5%)
Arrival by ambulance	3,644 (65.3%)
Medical history	
Coronary artery disease	650 (11.6%)
Valvular heart disease	193 (3.5%)
Congestive heart failure	178 (3.2%)
Hypertension	1,741 (31.2%)
Diabetes	563 (10.1%)
Cardiomyopathy	64 (1.1%)
Syncope	606 (10.9%)
Emergency Department Management	
Electrocardiogram (ECG) performed	5,320 (95.3%)
Blood tests performed	4,753 (85.2%)
CT head performed	1,115 (20.0%)
ED length of stay in hours – median (IQR)	4 (3–7)
Referred to consultant in ED	1,042 (18.7%)
Hospitalized	650 (11.6%)
30-day Serious Outcomes	
Patients with Serious Outcomes	417 (7.5%)
Patients with Serious Arrhythmic Outcomes	207 (3.7%)
Place of Serious Arrhythmic Outcome Identification	
During index ED evaluation	100 (1.8%)
During index visit hospitalization	60 (1.1%)
After the index visit*	47 (0.9%)

SD = Standard Deviation; CT = Computed Tomography scan; IQR = Inter-Quartile Range;
ED = Emergency Department

*N = 5,235; 346 patients who were lost to 30-day follow-up were excluded



Table 2. 30-Day Serious Arrhythmic Outcomes among Emergency Department Patients with Syncope

Serious Arrhythmic Outcomes	All Arrhythmic Outcomes N=207 (3.7%)	Identified during the Index Visit		Identified after the Index Visit N=47 (0.9%)*
		ED evaluation N=100 (1.8%)	In hospital N=60 (1.1%)	
Death due to unknown cause	16 (0.3%)	1 (0.0%)	3 (0.1%)	12 (0.2%)
Arrhythmia	161 (2.9%)[†]	99 (1.8%)	32 (0.6%)	30 (0.6%)
Sinus node dysfunction	61 (1.1%)	38 (0.7%)	12 (0.2%)	11 (0.2%)
New or uncontrolled atrial fibrillation	43 (0.8%)	33 (0.6%)	1 (0.0%)	9 (0.2%)
High-grade atrioventricular block	30 (0.5%)	18 (0.3%)	7 (0.1%)	5 (0.1%)
Ventricular arrhythmia	19 (0.3%)	4 (0.1%)	11 (0.2%)	4 (0.1%)
Supraventricular tachycardia	8 (0.1%)	6 (0.1%)	1 (0.0%)	1 (0.0%)
Interventions for arrhythmia - Pacemaker/ICD insertion	30 (0.5%)	0 (0%)	25 (0.4%)	5 (0.1%)

ED = Emergency Department; ICD = Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator

*N = 5,235; 346 patients who were lost to 30-day follow-up were excluded

[†] Patients who had BOTH an arrhythmia and a rhythm device implanted were counted as having the arrhythmia. Of the 161 patients who suffered arrhythmias: 63 had pacemaker inserted, 8 had ICD inserted, 5 underwent cardioversions, 3 patients had ablation, one patient underwent dialysis to correct hyperkalemia and the remaining had medical management for their arrhythmias.

Circulation

Table 3. Proportion of Patients with Arrhythmic Outcomes After 6-Hours of Emergency Department Monitoring for Select Canadian Syncope Risk Score Thresholds

CSRS threshold scores [†]	N	Arrhythmic outcomes within 6 hours of ED arrival	Arrhythmic Outcomes after 6 hours of ED monitoring*	Total Arrhythmic Outcomes within 30-days
≥ 1	397	5 (1.3%)	17 (4.3%)	22 (5.5%)
≥ 2	384	22 (5.7%)	13 (3.6%)	35 (9.1%)
≥ 3	281	18 (6.4%)	17 (6.5%)	35 (12.5%)
≥ 4	144	13 (9.0%)	10 (7.6%)	23 (16%)
≥ 5	130	11 (8.5%)	19 (16.0%)	30 (23.1%)

CSRS = Canadian Syncope Risk Score; ED = Emergency Department

*Proportions calculated based on the number of patients at-risk at the end of 6-hours (after removing patients who already suffered arrhythmia within 6-hours from the denominator)

[†]The proportion of patients with serious arrhythmic outcomes reported are for that value of the threshold risk score or higher



Circulation

Figure Legends

Figure 1. The Canadian Syncope Risk Score

*Triggered by being in a warm crowded place, prolonged standing, fear, emotion or pain

†Includes coronary or valvular heart disease, cardiomyopathy, congestive heart failure and non-sinus rhythm (electrocardiogram evidence during index visit or documented history of ventricular or atrial arrhythmias, or device implantation).

‡Includes blood pressure values from triage until disposition from the emergency department

§Shrinkage-adjusted expected risk



Figure 2. Patient Flow

LOC = Loss of Consciousness; ED = Emergency Department; CSRS = Canadian Syncope Risk Score

Figure 3. Time to Serious Outcome and Arrhythmia in the First 24-Hours and Within 30-Days of Emergency Department Arrival after Syncope, Stratified by the Canadian Syncope Risk Score

*At the end of the indicated hour.

†The 95% CI for survival estimates calculated based on last event occurrence out of the 720 hours (30-day follow-up): 592 hours for low-risk, 626 hours for medium-risk and 663 hours for high-risk patients.

‡Of the 346 patients with incomplete 30-day follow-up, 308 patients were low-risk, 35 medium-risk and 3 high-risk. Hence, the proportion of patients who suffered arrhythmic outcomes after the cut

points based on complete 30-day follow-up were: 0.2% of low-risk patients, 5.0% of medium-risk, 18.1% of high-risk patients and 7.5% for the combined medium and high-risk categories (non-low risk).

Figure 4. Time of Occurrence of Arrhythmic Outcomes among Medium and High-Risk Patients

*Proportion of medium and high-risk patients, stratified using the Canadian Syncope Risk Score with arrhythmic outcomes within 30-days of ED arrival

Figure 5. Time of Unexplained Death and Occurrence of Ventricular Arrhythmias among the Study Patients

None of the low-risk patients suffered ventricular arrhythmia or unexplained death

HR = High-Risk; MR = Medium-Risk; VA = Ventricular Arrhythmia




Figure 1

Category	Points
Clinical evaluation	
Predisposition to vasovagal symptoms*	-1
History of heart disease†	1
Any systolic pressure reading < 90 or > 180 mm Hg‡	2
Investigations	
Elevated troponin level (> 99th percentile of normal population)	2
Abnormal QRS axis (< -30° or > 100°)	1
QRS duration > 130 ms	1
Corrected QT interval > 480 ms	2
Diagnosis in emergency department	
Vasovagal syncope	-2
Cardiac syncope	2
Total score (-3 to 11)	—



Total score	Estimated risk of serious adverse event,§ %	Risk category
-3	0.4	Very Low
-2	0.7	Very Low
-1	1.2	Low
0	1.9	Low
1	3.1	Medium
2	5.1	Medium
3	8.1	Medium
4	12.9	High
5	19.7	High
6	28.9	Very High
7	40.3	Very High
8	52.8	Very High
9	65.0	Very High
10	75.5	Very High
11	83.6	Very High

Figure 2





Figure 3




Canadian Syncope Risk Score – Low Risk Patients				
Hours	0	2*	720†	Total
Number at risk	4,123	4,117	4,108‡	4,123
Arrhythmic outcome	0	6	9	15
Event free estimates (95% CI)	100%	99.9% (99.8% – 99.9%)	99.6% (99.4% – 99.8%)	
Canadian Syncope Risk Score – Medium Risk Patients				
Hours	0	6*	720†	Total
Number at risk	1,062	1,017	970‡	1,062
Arrhythmic outcome	0	45	47	92
Event free estimates (95% CI)	100%	95.7% (93.3% – 98.1%)	90.7% (86.8% – 94.7%)	
Canadian Syncope Risk Score – High Risk Patients				
Hours	0	6*	720†	Total
Number at risk	396	349	296‡	396
Arrhythmic outcome	0	47	53	100
Event free estimates (95% CI)	100%	87.6% (82.1% – 93.1%)	71.2% (65.5% – 76.9%)	




Circulation

Figure 4



Circulation

Figure 5



Proportion of Canadian Syncope Risk Score Medium and High-Risk Patients with Unexplained Death or Ventricular Arrhythmia

	Medium Risk Patients N=1,062	High Risk Patients N=396	p-value
Ventricular Arrhythmia	4 (0.4%)	15 (3.8%)	<0.0001
Death due to unknown cause	6 (0.6%)	10 (2.5%)	0.0021