Skin Preparation for the Prevention of Surgical Site Infection: Which Agent Is Best?
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Procedural and surgical site infections create difficult and complex clinical scenarios. A source for pathogens is often thought to be the skin surface, making skin preparation at the time of the procedure critical. The most common skin preparation agents used today include products containing iodophors or chlorhexidine gluconate. Agents are further classified by whether they are aqueous-based or alcohol-based solutions. Traditional aqueous-based iodophors, such as povidone-iodine, are one of the few products that can be safely used on mucous membrane surfaces. Alcohol-based solutions are quick, sustained, and durable, with broader spectrum antimicrobial activity. These agents seem ideal for longer open surgeries with the potential for irrigation or surgical spillage, such as cystoprostatectomy, radical prostatectomy, and retroperitoneal lymph node dissection.
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choice of agent should be based primarily on the surgeon’s knowledge of the product’s efficacy, cost, and ease of use. Urologic surgeons have the additional challenge of choosing the best agent for the variety of procedures that they perform, including intraperitoneal and extraperitoneal surgery; scrotal, perineal, and vaginal operations; endoscopy; and percutaneous renal surgery. Each of these operative sites has different endogenous flora, body contours, and skin types, all factors that influence the risk of SSI and, therefore, the best type of antiseptic skin agent to use. This article focuses on skin preparation for the prevention of SSI with an assessment of currently available antiseptic products and their application to urologic surgery.

History
The first use of an antiseptic skin agent in surgery is credited to the English surgeon Joseph Lister (1827-1912). Prior to the mid-19th century, limb amputation was associated with an alarming 50% postoperative mortality from sepsis. Following Louis Pasteur’s discovery that tissue decay was caused by microscopic organisms, Lister theorized that the spread of these microbes through surgical wounds was responsible for death in the postoperative period. Lister began treating wounds with carboic acid (phenol) in an effort to prevent tissue decay and the resultant infectious complications. As a result, the incidence of surgical sepsis fell dramatically, catalyzing the adoption of modern antiseptic techniques, including instrument sterilization, the use of surgical scrub and rubber gloves, and sterile patient preparation.5

Modern Surgical Skin Preparation
The most common skin preparation agents used today include products containing iodophors or chlorhexidine gluconate (CHG). Agents are further classified by whether they are aqueous or alcohol-based solutions (Table 1).1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antiseptic</th>
<th>Mechanism of Action</th>
<th>Antimicrobial Coverage</th>
<th>Onset</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aqueous-iodophor</td>
<td>Free iodine — protein, DNA</td>
<td>Excellent for gram +</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>2 hours19</td>
<td>2-step scrub and paint</td>
<td>Betadine* Scrub Care†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>damage</td>
<td>bacteria, good for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>gram —, fungi, virus,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mtb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqueous-CHG</td>
<td>Disrupts membranes</td>
<td>Excellent for gram +,</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>6 hours20</td>
<td>2-step scrub and dry, repeat</td>
<td>Hibiclens§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>good for gram — and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>virus, fair for fungus,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>poor for Mtb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol-iodophor</td>
<td>Denatures protein, free</td>
<td>Improved gram —, Mtb</td>
<td>Rapid</td>
<td>48 hours</td>
<td>1-step paint Dry time,</td>
<td>DuraPrep solution§ DryPrep†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>iodine — protein, DNA</td>
<td>activity</td>
<td></td>
<td>(DuraPrep)11</td>
<td>minimum of 3 min on</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>damage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>96 hours</td>
<td>hairless surface</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Prevail-FX)12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol-CHG</td>
<td>Denatures protein, disrupts</td>
<td>Improved gram —, Mtb,</td>
<td>Rapid</td>
<td>48 hours22,23</td>
<td>Dry site: 30-sec scrub Moist</td>
<td>ChloraPrep†§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>membranes</td>
<td>fungal activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>site: 2-min scrub Dry time,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>minimum of 3 min on hairless</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mtb, Mycobacterium tuberculosis; CHG, chlorhexidine gluconate.
*Betadine® is from Purdue Products, LP (Stamford, CT).
†ScrubCare® and Prevail-FX® are from Cardinal Health (Dublin, OH).
§Hibiclens® is from Mölnlycke Health Care US LLC (Norcross, GA).
13M™ DuraPrep™ Surgical Solution (Iodine Povacrylex [0.7% available iodine] and Isopropyl Alcohol, 74% w/w) Patient Preoperative Skin Preparation is from 3M Health Care (St. Paul, MN).
‡ChloraPrep® is from CareFusion, Inc. (Leawood, KS).
Based on data from Mangram AJ et al.1
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**Aqueous-Based Solutions**
Aqueous-based iodophors such as povidone-iodine (PVP-I) contain iodine complexed with a solubilizing agent that allows for the release of free iodine when in solution. Iodine acts in an antiseptic fashion by destroying microbial proteins and DNA. Iodophor-containing products enjoy widespread use because of their broad-spectrum antimicrobial properties, efficacy, and safety on nearly all skin surfaces in patients regardless of age. In the aqueous form, most commercially available iodophors require a 2-step application in a scrub-and-paint technique, and their activity is limited by the amount of time the agent is in contact with the skin. A second product, aqueous-based chlorhexidine gluconate (CHG), works by disrupting bacterial cell membranes. CHG has more sustained antimicrobial activity and is more resistant to neutralization by blood products than the iodophors. CHG is applied in a similar manner to PVP-I, but should not be used in the genital region. This agent has gained popularity as a hand-scrubbing and showering antiseptic prior to surgery, but also continues to be used as a patient skin preparation agent.

**Alcohol-Based Solutions**
Ethyl and isopropyl alcohol are 2 of the most effective antiseptic agents available. When used alone, alcohol is fast and short acting, has broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity, and is relatively inexpensive. Alcohol-based solutions that contain CHG or iodophors have sustained and durable antimicrobial activity that lasts long after alcohol evaporation. Because alcohol dries on exposed skin within moments of application, these can be applied with a 1-step preparation as opposed to a scrub-and-paint technique.

A limitation to the use of alcohol in the operating room is its flammability on skin surfaces prior to evaporation. There have been a few reports of operating room fires originating from alcohol-based skin preparation resulting in significant injury to patients and staff. Flammability can be avoided by allowing skin to completely dry and avoiding preparation of areas with excessive body hair that can delay alcohol vaporization.

Additionally, alcohol-based solutions should not be applied to mucous membranes and therefore have limited utility as antiseptic agents prior to transurethral or transvaginal surgery. Nevertheless, combination solutions with alcohol and CHG or iodophors have gained popularity among general, cardiac, and orthopedic surgeons and may have additional utility in certain urologic procedures. Recent studies suggest that these products may have greater efficacy, easier application, improved durability, and a superior cost profile when compared with traditional aqueous-based solutions.

**Combination solutions with alcohol and CHG or iodophors** have gained popularity among general, cardiac, and orthopedic surgeons and may have additional utility in certain urologic procedures. Recent studies suggest that these products may have greater efficacy, easier application, improved durability, and a superior cost profile when compared with traditional aqueous-based solutions. One such product, 3M™ DuraPrep™ Surgical Solution (Iodine Povacrylex [0.7% available iodine] and Isopropyl Alcohol, 74% w/w) Patient Preoperative Skin Preparation (3M Health Care, St. Paul, MN), is an antiseptic skin solution that contains iodine povacrylex and isopropyl alcohol. It is applied in 1 step, has a dry time of a minimum of 3 minutes on hairless skin, leaves a water-insoluble film on the skin surface that maintains antimicrobial activity for up to 48 hours, and resists wash-off by saline and blood products (Figure 1). 

In vitro studies have demonstrated that DuraPrep solution is effective against a broad range of microorganisms, including those most commonly encountered in genitourinary (GU) surgery, including gram-negative rods, Staphylococcus species, and Enterococcus, as well as multidrug-resistant organisms such as methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus epidermidis (MRSE), and vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE). Furthermore, DuraPrep solution accomplishes a 6-fold bacterial log reduction within 1 minute of contact with a greater percentage release of free iodine when compared with the leading aqueous iodophors.

Another potential advantage of this product is its durability in the surgical

---

**Figure 1. Application of DuraPrep™ Surgical Solution (3M Health Care, St. Paul, MN).** Adapted with permission from 3M Health Care.
Another unique feature of DuraPrep solution is that it enhances adhesion between surgical drapes and the prepared skin surface, theoretically limiting the spread of organisms onto the surgical field. In a randomized, prospective surgical simulation study, patients prepared with DuraPrep solution resulted in a 3-fold decrease in SSI when compared with the leading CHG preparations: a povidone-iodine scrub-paint combination (Betadine®, Purdue Products, LP, Stamford, CT) (with an isopropyl alcohol application between the steps), ChloraPrep, and DuraPrep solution. This study employed a sequential implementation design, and each agent was used for a 6-month period for all general surgery cases. PSSIs were tracked for 30 days.

DuraPrep solution was associated with the lowest infection rate (3.9%, compared with 6.4% for Betadine and 7.1% for ChloraPrep [P = .002]). In subgroup analysis, no difference in outcomes was seen between patients prepared with Betadine/alcohol and those prepared with DuraPrep solution, but patients in both these groups had significantly lower PSSI rates compared with patients prepared with ChloraPrep (4.8% vs 8.2% [P = .001]).

In the cardiac literature, a comparison of DuraPrep solution with the leading PVP-I in patients at high risk for SSI undergoing open heart surgery, 4 of 101 patients in the DuraPrep solution group developed wound infections compared with 14 of 108 in the PVP-I group. At another center, the introduction of DuraPrep solution in a cardiac surgery service was associated with a more than 50% reduction in overall SSI, sternal wound infection, and repeat surgical intervention for infection.

In a study of patients undergoing epidural catheter placement on an obstetrics ward, DuraPrep solution was prospectively compared with PVP-I. The DuraPrep solution group showed a significant decrease in the number of positive skin cultures obtained immediately after disinfection and immediately prior to catheter removal. In addition, bacteria was cultured from 2 epidural catheter tips in patients treated with DuraPrep solution compared with 13 positive cultures from catheter tips in the PVP-I group. This finding suggests that DuraPrep solution may be particularly suitable for percutaneous renal access procedures where catheters are frequently left in place postoperatively, thus serving as a potential entry point for infection.

Finally, a prospective clinical study in the orthopedic literature suggests that alcohol-based solutions with iodophor or CHG may have improved efficacy at reducing bacterial counts in “moist” surgical sites or body regions with increased endogenous bacterial colonization. One hundred twenty-five patients undergoing foot and ankle surgery were randomized to receive preparation with 3M™ DuraPrep™ Surgical Solution (Iodine...
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Povacrylex [0.7% available iodine] and Isopropyl Alcohol, 74% w/w) Patient Preoperative Skin Preparation, ChloraPrep, or Techni-Care® Surgical Scrub (Care-Tech Laboratories, Inc., St. Louis, MO). The sites treated with alcohol-based solutions had on average a 50% reduction in positive cultures compared with those treated with traditional antiseptic agents. Overall, ChloraPrep performed 2-fold better than DuraPrep solution, but the findings of this study have been criticized because no neutralization agent was used prior to obtaining cultures from the surface of the treated areas. Because ChloraPrep is a non-film forming antiseptic, without the use of a neutralizer, it is likely that in this group, sampling contaminated with antiseptic led to ongoing bacterial death and exaggerated efficacy. Additionally, no patients developed SSI in the DuraPrep solution group.16 These findings can be generalized to other “moist” surgical sites, suggesting that alcohol-based solutions may be efficacious for use in groin, scrotal, or perineal urologic surgery, especially for implantation of foreign devices such as penile prostheses or artificial urinary sphincters where minimizing bacterial counts is critical.

Alcohol-based solutions may be efficacious for use in groin, scrotal, or perineal urologic surgery, especially for implantation of foreign devices such as penile prostheses or artificial urinary sphincters where minimizing bacterial counts is critical.

In addition to an analysis of efficacy, ease of use, cost, and user satisfaction are important considerations when choosing a skin preparation agent. In a prospective comparison of alcohol-based iodophors with traditional PVP-I preparation, the alcohol-based solutions had shorter application and drying times. Taking into consideration operating room time and product expenses, the alcohol-containing products had lower overall costs.17 Other studies have confirmed this finding, showing that the use of DuraPrep solution has potential savings of $78 per patient (Table 2).18 Despite these advantages, operating room personnel preferred PVP-I scrub-and-paint to the alcohol preparations, citing concern over flammability as the most important overall deciding factor. Familiarity with PVP-I scrub-and-paint, however, may have introduced bias into the assessment of user satisfaction because personnel had little to no experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Comparison of Antiseptic Products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antiseptic</td>
<td>OR Durability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChloraPrep§</td>
<td>3.2 bacterial log reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DuraPrep solution†</td>
<td>3.7 bacterial log reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVP-I</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tincture of iodine</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preval‡</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR, operating room; PVP-I, povidone-iodine.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number of procedures.

†DuraPrep™ solution is from 3M Health Care (St. Paul, MN).

‡Preval-FX® is from Cardinal Health (Dublin, OH).

§ChloraPrep® is from CareFusion, Inc. (Leawood, KS).

Adapted with permission from Roberts AJ et al.18
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Main Points
- Aqueous-based iodophors, such as povidone-iodine, contain iodine complexed with a solubilizing agent, allowing for the release of free iodine when in a solution. Iodine acts in an antiseptic manner by destroying microbial proteins and DNA. Iodophor-containing products enjoy widespread use because of their broad-spectrum antimicrobial properties, efficacy, and safety on nearly all skin surfaces regardless of the patient’s age.
- Ethyl and isopropyl alcohol are 2 of the most effective antiseptic agents available. When used alone, alcohol is fast and short acting, has broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity, and is relatively inexpensive. Flammability can be avoided by allowing skin to completely dry and by avoiding preparation of areas with excessive body hair that can delay alcohol vaporization.
- Recent studies suggest that alcohol-based solutions may have greater efficacy, easier application, improved durability, and a superior cost profile when compared with traditional aqueous-based solutions.
- DuraPrep solution, an antiseptic skin solution that contains iodine poviacylex in isopropyl alcohol, shows durability in the surgical/procedural environment and enhances adhesion between surgical drapes and the prepared skin surface, theoretically limiting the spread of organisms onto the surgical field.
- Alcohol-based solutions are quick, sustained, and durable, with broader spectrum antimicrobial activity. These agents seem ideal for longer open surgeries with the potential for irrigation or surgical spillage.
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